1 2 3 4	Thomas A. Mesereau, Jr. (SBN 91182) Susan Yu (SBN 195640) COLLINS, MESEREAU, REDDOCK & YU 1875 Century Park East, 7th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067 Telephone: 310-284-3120 Facsimile: 310-284-3133	SUPERIOR COURT of CALIFORNIA COUNTY of SANTA BARBARA OCT 1 2 2014
6 7 8	Steve Cochran (SBN 105541) Stacey McKee Knight (SBN 181027) KATTEN MUCHIN ZAVIS ROSENMAN 2029 Century Park East, Suite 2600 Los Angeles, California 90067 Telephone: (310) 788-4400 Facsimile: (310) 712-8455	GARY M. BLAIR, Executive Officer BY CANAL & WAGNEY CARRIE L. WAGNER, Debuty Cle-
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Robert M. Sanger (SBN 58214) SANGER & SWYSEN 233 E. Carrillo Street, Suite C Santa Barbara, California 93101 Telephone: 805-962-4887 Facsimile: 805-963-7311 Brian Oxman (SBN 072172) OXMAN & JAROSCAK 14126 East Rosecrans Boulevard Santa Fe Springs, California 90670 Telephone: 562-921-5058 Facsimile: 562-921-2298	
16 17 18	Aπomeys for Defendant MICHAEL J. JACKSON SUPERIOR COURT OF THE ST	TATE OF CALIFORNIA
19	FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA	
20	SANTA MARIA DIVISION	
21 22 23 24 25 26 27	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff, vs. MICHAEL J. JACKSON Defendant.	REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO COMPEL DISCOVERY AND RESPONSE TO STATUS REPORT RE: PRODUCTION OF FORENSIC DATA Hearing Date: October 14, 2004 Time: 8:30 a.m.
	- 1 - REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO COMPEL AND RESPONSE TO STATUS REPORT RE: PRODUCTION OF FORENSIC DATA	

6 | I.

Defendant MICHAEL J. JACKSON, through his counsel, hereby submits his reply memorandum in support of his motion to compel discovery. The defense also responds to the prosecution's status report concerning the production of forensic information.

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES THE DEFENSE IS ENTITLED TO DISCOVERY OF

COMMUNICATION AMONG LAW ENFORCEMENT AND THIRD PARTIES CONCERNING MR. JACKSON.

On this issue as well, the practical effect of the prosecution's position is further delay. This motion was not filed to initiate a meet and confer or to stage discovery matters for the status conference in November.

A dispute exists concerning the prosecution's obligation to produce notes or written communication of any kind among police, prosecutors and identified third parties concerning Mr. Jackson. Those materials were requested in July of this year. The prosecution's response of August does not deny that those materials exist. The government's position is that such information is not discoverable.

Communications among law enforcement and third parties concerning Mr. Jackson is within the ambit of discovery. Those materials could provide further evidence of the extraordinary efforts taken to pursue criminal allegations against Mr. Jackson. That material may also contain exculpatory information to which the defense is entitled under <u>Brady v. Maryland</u>.

The prosecution has repeatedly and unduly delayed discovery. Among the many examples are a series of reports produced by the prosecution last Friday, October 8, 2004. These reports were written in January, February and March 2004. These reports relate to witnesses who the prosecution believes have critical information about the precise issues in this case. Yet, they suppressed these reports and the underlying documents during the entire length of the prosecution until now.

The prosecution's approach to discovery puts the trial date in jeopardy. It is plainly unfair for the prosecution to have almost a year to conduct other investigation and provide discovery to the defense, over time, up until weeks before the trial. The defense must be provided with ample time to conduct independent investigation, find out what really happened and cogently present the information at trial. The prosecution should be compelled to produce additional discovery forthwith.

II. THE PROSECUTION SHOULD BE ORDERED TO PRODUCE THE RESULTS OF FORENSIC TESTS IMMEDIATELY.

In mid-September 2004, as a result of a defense motion made weeks earlier, this Court ordered the prosecution to provide all results of forensic examinations by October 8, 2004. That was a date proposed by the prosecution, which also promised a status report if forensic data was not available for delivery.

Characteristically, everything was not produced by the prosecution on the socalled due date. Some forensic data was produced but the prosecution indicates that discovery of forensic information will not be complete for approximately six (6) weeks.

An additional six (6) week delay of receiving the results of forensic examinations was not contemplated by this Court and is unacceptable to the defense. An accused is entitled to at least as much protection as a civil litigant. A strict deadline for production of forensic data is necessary to insure adequate trial preparation and avoid surprise at trial.

Furthermore, the major forensic reports from the FBI relating to the contents of computer harddrives were delivered to the prosecution on April 5, 2004. This Court and Mr. Jackson have been told by the prosecution that these reports would not be completed until now because the work was not done. The fact is that the reports show that the FBI work was done at the Sheriff's department, in the presence of Sheriff's detectives in February and March 2004.

PROOF OF SERVICE

I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within action, and my business address is Katten Muchin Zavis Rosenman (the "business"), 2029 Century Park East, Suite 2600, Los Angeles, California 90067.

On October 12, 2004, I served the foregoing documents described as REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO COMPEL DISCOVERY AND RESPONSE TO STATUS REPORT RE: PRODUCTION OF FORENSIC DATA on the interested parties in this action as follows:

-) I am readily familiar with the business's practice for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service; such correspondence would be deposited with the United States Postal Service the same day of deposit in the ordinary course of business.
- (X) By Facsimile Machine, I caused the above-referenced document(s) to be transmitted to the persons listed below:

Thomas W. Sneddon, Jr.
District Attorney of Santa Barbara
1105 Santa Barbara Street
Santa Barbara, CA 93101

ne laws of the State of Californ

Fax: 805-568-2398

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct

Executed on October 12, 2004 at Los Angeles, California.

Marsha Davis

1.2

31215969~1

PROOF OF SERVICE